

STATE OF MICHIGAN
BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission)
approval of interconnection agreements.)
)
_____)

At the September 25, 2012 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. John D. Quackenbush, Chairman
Hon. Orjiakor N. Isiogu, Commissioner
Hon. Greg R. White, Commissioner

ORDER

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of the following arbitrated
interconnection agreements:

- | | |
|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Case No. U-17110 | ACD Telecom, Inc., and AT&T Michigan
Application filed September 17, 2012, for approval of an
interconnection agreement in compliance with the July 30, 2012
order in Case No. U-16906. |
| Case No. U-17111 | Arialink Telecom, LLC, and AT&T Michigan
Application filed September 12, 2012, for approval of an
interconnection agreement in compliance with the July 30, 2012
order in Case No. U-16906. |
| Case No. U-17112 | CynergyComm.net, Inc., and AT&T Michigan
Application filed September 12, 2012, for approval of an
interconnection agreement in compliance with the July 30, 2012
order in Case No. U-16906. |

- Case No. U-17113 DayStarr LLC, and AT&T Michigan
Application filed September 12, 2012, for approval of an interconnection agreement in compliance with the July 30, 2012 order in Case No. U-16906.
- Case No. U-17114 Lucre, Inc., and AT&T Michigan
Application filed September 12, 2012, for approval of an interconnection agreement in compliance with the July 30, 2012 order in Case No. U-16906.
- Case No. U-17115 Michigan Access, Inc., and AT&T Michigan
Application filed September 17, 2012, for approval of an interconnection agreement in compliance with the July 30, 2012 order in Case No. U-16906.
- Case No. U-17116 Osirus Communications, Inc., and AT&T Michigan
Application filed September 12, 2012, for approval of an interconnection agreement in compliance with the July 30, 2012 order in Case No. U-16906.
- Case No. U-17117 Superior Spectrum Telephone and Data, L.L.C., and AT&T Michigan
Application filed September 12, 2012, for approval of an interconnection agreement in compliance with the July 30, 2012 order in Case No. U-16906.
- Case No. U-17118 TC3 Telecom, Inc., and AT&T Michigan
Application filed September 12, 2012, for approval of an interconnection agreement in compliance with the July 30, 2012 order in Case No. U-16906.
- Case No. U-17119 TelNet Worldwide, Inc., and AT&T Michigan
Application filed September 12, 2012, for approval of an interconnection agreement in compliance with the July 30, 2012 order in Case No. U-16906.

47 USC 252(e)(2)(B) and (3) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject . . .
(B) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by arbitration . . . if it finds that the agreement does not meet the requirements of subsection 251 of this title, including the regulations prescribed by the Commission pursuant to section 251 of this title, or the standards set forth in subsection (d) of this section.
- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other

requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

After reviewing the applications, the Commission finds that they should be approved. The Commission finds that the agreements are consistent with federal and state law, are in the public interest, and meet the requirements of 47 USC 252(e)(2)(B) and 252(d) and the July 30, 2012 order in Case No. U-16906. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements shall be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

- A. The arbitrated interconnection agreements listed above are approved.
- B. Approval of the interconnection agreements does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party aggrieved by this order may file an action in the appropriate federal District Court pursuant to 47 USC 252(e)(6).

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

John D. Quackenbush, Chairman

Orjiakor N. Isiogu, Commissioner

Greg R. White, Commissioner

By its action of September 25, 2012.

Mary Jo Kunkle, Executive Secretary

P R O O F O F S E R V I C E

STATE OF MICHIGAN)

Case No. U-17113

County of Ingham)

Alyssa Sherman being duly sworn, deposes and says that on September 25, 2012 A.D. she served a copy of the attached Commission order by first class mail, postage prepaid, or by inter-departmental mail, to the persons as shown on the attached service list.

Alyssa Sherman

Subscribed and sworn to before me
This 25th day of September 2012

Gloria Pearl Jones
Notary Public, Ingham County, MI
My Commission Expires June 5, 2016
Acting in Eaton County

Service List U-17113

AT&T Michigan
Robin Gleason
221 N. Washington Square
Ground Floor
Lansing MI 48933

Daystarr, LLC, d/b/a Daystarr Communications
Collin J. Rose, President
321 N. Shiawassee Street
P.O. Box 250
Corunna MI 48817

Gary L. Field
Field Law Group PLLC
3493 Woods Edge Dr., Ste. 100
Okemos MI 48864-5985

Gary A. Gensch, Jr.
Field Law Group PLLC
3493 Woods Edge Dr., Ste. 100
Okemos MI 48864-5985

Mark R. Ortlieb
AT&T Michigan
221 N. Washington Square, Room G1
Lansing MI 48933