

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of David Abbey [davegps@webtv.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:38 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

I live a little south of the city. A couple of years ago we were told that our air was polluted. After a better study, it was pointed out that since we have few factories here anymore, the pollution was brought by the westerly winds from Chicago and Gary.

With these winds, we will probably never, or seldom, be affected by the junk in the air from any coal plants east of us. But, others will. I am worried enough about the 2 nuclear plants within 30 miles of us. Don't make it worse!

Sincerely,

Mr. David Abbey
4964 Hollywood Rd
Saint Joseph, MI 49085-9339

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of David Aho [dave.r.aho@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:38 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

We need to move forward with supporting our energy needs, but we all

know that coal is not the answer. There are many other better options, which I presume you are familiar with. If not, find someone who is, and do the right thing. Coal is NOT the answer!

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Aho
PO Box 212
Rudyard, MI 49780-0212

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Chuck Altman [caltman@rocketmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:38 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chuck Altman
212 Baker St Apt 205
Royal Oak, MI 48067-2275

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Kathleen Barz [kabarz@umich.edu]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:07 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathleen Barz
1110 Meadow Dr
Mt Pleasant, MI 48858-8988

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Diane Bauer [1diane.bauer@att.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:07 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Please help save Michigan's air quality.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Bauer
1141 Island Dr
Commerce Twp, MI 48382-3807

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Alice Beecher [abee1118@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:38 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Miss Alice Beecher
6509 Maple Lakes Ct
West Bloomfield, MI 48322-3058

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Ruta Belcher [rutaleigh@hotmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:07 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ruta Belcher
7986 La Duke Rd
Monroe, MI 48162-9414

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Kristine Bishop [kristibishop@comcast.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:09 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Please! No coal plants!

Sincerely,

Ms. Kristine Bishop
4730 Dawson Dr
Ann Arbor, MI 48103-9405

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Dianne Bragg [bragdi@aol.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:38 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dianne Bragg
8900 E Jefferson Ave Apt 821
Detroit, MI 48214-4130

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Carley Broder [carbro67@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2009 6:04 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 27, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The Draft Permit for the Proposed Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan. You can assert your leadership in the industry by opting instead for clean, renewable fuels.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carley Broder
100 W 67th St Apt 2SE
New York, NY 10023-5919

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Jill Cachia [jilldaniel@aol.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:38 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jill Cachia
2912 Augusta Dr
Commerce Township, MI 48382-1194

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Victoria Casillas [biggyred16@aol.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2009 6:15 PM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 25, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The Draft Permit for the Proposed Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan

Sincerely,

Ms. Victoria Casillas
1415 N Avenue 55
Los Angeles, CA 90042-1825

-----Original Message-----

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [mailto:membership.services@sierraclub.org] On Behalf Of Nicholas Celeski

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:09 AM

To: MPSCEDOCKETS

Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Nicholas Celeski
23815 Marshall St
Dearborn, MI 48124-1434

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Diane Cheklich [dcc@cheklich.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:07 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Cheklich
2833 Vinsetta Blvd
Royal Oak, MI 48073-3341

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of LINDA CHRISTENSEN [nrthwds@alphacomm.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:39 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. LINDA CHRISTENSEN
E13663 Stateline Lake Rd E
Marenisco, MI 49947-9768

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Chuck Collins [handyman48150@mac.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:39 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chuck Collins
29490 Grayfield Dr
Farmington Hills, MI 48336-3628

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Margaret Comfort [whitepine@torchlake.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:08 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

Just say no to new coal-fired power plants!
Invest in conservation and renewable energy instead.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Margaret Comfort
1100 S East Torch Lake Dr
Bellaire, MI 49615-9301

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Sara Cooper [sos_sage@hotmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:38 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sara Cooper
6093 Seymour Rd
Jackson, MI 49201-9638

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Bill Dellinger [bicyclebill1068@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, June 26, 2009 1:46 PM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 26, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bill Dellinger
3842 Stolzenfeld Ave
Warren, MI 48091-4570

-----Original Message-----

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [mailto:membership.services@sierraclub.org] On Behalf Of Richard Dezeeuw

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:09 AM

To: MPSCEDOCKETS

Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Dezeeuw
7711 100th St SE
Caledonia, MI 49316-9548

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Frank Driscoll [fcdiscoll@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:37 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Frank Driscoll
2499 Bayside St
Waterford, MI 48329-2990

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Jen Eldred [ratmom@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:37 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jen Eldred
44001 Burtrig Rd
Belleville, MI 48111-2981

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Kathleen Erndt [erndtgk@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:38 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

There are also the health issues to be considered. There is no question about the adverse effects of the arsenic, CO₂, air-borne particulates (to name a few) on our most vulnerable citizens - our elderly and our children.

On their behalf, please reject these permits.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kathleen Erndt
440 N Burns Rd
Bay City, MI 48708-9221

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Elon Ferguson [elon356@comcast.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:07 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Elon Ferguson
43220 Riggs Rd
Belleville, MI 48111-3036

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Nicholas Franco [nfranco3@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, June 26, 2009 6:10 PM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 26, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Nicholas Franco
1618 Saunders Ave
Saint Paul, MN 55116-2429

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Lori Franson [lmf001@charter.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:08 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

It is unconscionable that a well documented unneeded coal plant that will adversely affect our Great Lake state and our residents economically, environmentally and public health is even being considered. The EPA is raising efficiency standards for practically all lighting, appliances, A/C, heating, industrial motors, vending

machines and other. Three hundred million dollars of Federal funds will be spent on energy efficiency and weatherization in Michigan over the next three years. Weatherization will reduce peak air conditioning and winter heating electric demand. Furthermore, proposed Federal energy legislation calls for even more efficient appliances, buildings, commercial and industrial improvements, investment in a smart grid,

improved transmission infrastructure and efficiency research. The MCAC and the MGA recommend a 2% annual energy efficiency improvement as a

policy goal and report many EE actions to be taken by State government.

The MPSC, DEQ and Michigan utilities were well represented in both groups.

The EPRI report, referenced by Consumers, was written before the current wave of EE actions and assumes only EE mandates in place in 2008. The EPRI report states; "There are several factors that could have a significant impact on the potential for energy efficiency savings. These factors include higher electricity prices, regulatory

incentives to encourage greater investment in energy efficiency, carbon policy, the future level of codes and standards, and accelerated R&D and commercialization of advanced efficient technologies which have already begun to be implemented.

EPRI's estimate for annual electric savings is 8% not 0.5%. EPRI's estimate for summer peak demand reduction is 14% to 20%. According to EPRI, "EPRI estimates that the combination of demand response and energy efficiency programs has the potential to reduce non-coincident summer peak demand by 157 GW to 218 GW. This represents a range of achievable potential reduction in summer peak demand in 2030 of 14% to 20%."

<http://mydocs.epri.com/docs/public/0000000000001018363.pdf>

Consumer's analysis is woefully inadequate as evidenced by A National

Assessment of Demand Response Potential, which indicates Michigan has a demand response potential of 14.4% by 2019. This would equate to

approximately 1,400 MW for Consumers or about 1,000 MW higher than they forecast in Fig 2.

<http://www.ferc.gov/legal/staff-reports/06-09-demand-response.pdf>

Electric generation in Michigan dropped a substantial 15% in the first quarter of 2009 vs. 2008.

http://www.eia.doe.gov/cneaf/electricity/epm/table1_6_b.html (March decline was 21%) .

The Census of Michigan has declined along with Gross Domestic Product. This negates the need for the proposed coal

plant further. Additionally, EIA's has lowered their Low Macroeconomic Growth Case forecast for the "East North Central" (MI, WI, IL, IN and OH) to a growth rate of .3% from 2007 thru 2030 which has not been considered in Consumers evaluation.

http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/aeo/supplement/lm/excel/sup_elec.xls

You have a duty to reject Consumers analysis of need, as it is not supported via existing factual evidence.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lori Franson
224 Helen St
Midland, MI 48640-5703

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Yelena Fudym [lena935@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:38 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. Yelena Fudym
1920 Marie Cir
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302-0749

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Tamala Gage [higgylk6@verizon.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:38 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. Tamala Gage
228 Maplewood Dr
Roscommon, MI 48653-8518

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Martha Galan [transfer-art@earthlink.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:37 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Martha Galan
5132 Terrys Ln
Houghton Lake, MI 48629-8911

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Brittany Galisdorfer [brittany@suburbsalliance.org]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:07 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Brittany Galisdorfer
1437 Woodward Ave Apt 604
Detroit, MI 48226-2034

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Alivin Gerard [al.sharon@rocketmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:37 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Alivin Gerard
307 E Brooks Rd
Midland, MI 48640-9320

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Josh Gonzalez [joshuag5732@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:07 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Josh Gonzalez
4401 Ashlawn Dr
Flint, MI 48507-5655

-----Original Message-----

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [mailto:membership.services@sierraclub.org] On Behalf Of Karen Gougler
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:09 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Gougler
306 S Walnut St Apt 401
Bay City, MI 48706-4981

-----Original Message-----

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [mailto:membership.services@sierraclub.org] On Behalf Of Judy Halprin
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:09 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Miss Judy Halprin
6906 E Knollwood Cir
West Bloomfield, MI 48322-3955

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [mailto:membership.services@sierraclub.org] On
Behalf Of Kimberly Hancock
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:08 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No.
U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kimberly Hancock
323 Mill St
Williamston, MI 48895-1563

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of James Hayes [jh-ent-mi@att.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:08 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Hayes
13651 N Shore Dr
Millersburg, MI 49759-9388

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Diane Heath [writingforgodot@hotmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 12:06 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 27, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Heath
60932 Crested Butte Ln
Bend, OR 97702-8116

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of James Heckman [heckmanj502@aol.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:07 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Heckman
208 E Fenton St
Union City, MI 49094-1113

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Lee Hegstrand [lrheg@aol.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:38 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Dr. Lee Hegstrand
1125 Conlon Ave SE
Grand Rapids, MI 49506-3566

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Edward Hess [edymarww@telefonica.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 3:06 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The Draft Permit for the Proposed Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan

Sincerely,

Mr. Edward Hess
19203 N 29th Ave Lot 422
Phoenix, AZ 85027-4957

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Bettie Hilliker [bettiehilliker@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:07 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. Bettie Hilliker
6731 Mill Wheel Dr
Lansing, MI 48911-7067

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Shirley Kallio [shirleykallio@msn.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:07 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

An investment at this time in a coal-fired power plant is unconscionable, worse than a waste of money! Now is an unprecedented opportunity, a time of declining demand and rapidly emerging technologies in use of renewables, to clean up our energy sector and prepare for future economic growth and responsible earth stewardship. Please, do not allow Consumers Energy to move forward with this plant. A commitment to alternatives will serve, long-term, both the community and the state far better than the "bird-in-the-hand" coal plant proposal.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shirley Kallio
6260 Greeley Ave NE
Rockford, MI 49341-9027

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Stephen Kaplan [skap@umich.edu]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:39 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

We are fortunate indeed to have such exciting alternatives to business as usual.

Sincerely,

Dr. Stephen Kaplan
1213 S Forest Ave
Ann Arbor, MI 48104-3922

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Stan Kaplowitz [kaplowi1@msu.edu]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:07 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable future. Instead efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times. In addition renewable energy can create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Dr. Stan Kaplowitz
629 Marshall St
East Lansing, MI 48823-3278

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Randy Karcher [kshop@cablespeed.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:37 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Randy Karcher
799 S Eifert Rd
Mason, MI 48854-9739

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Stacey Kawakami [stacey.kawakami@sierraclub.org]
Sent: Friday, June 26, 2009 11:46 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 26, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. Stacey Kawakami
4020 Columbus Ave
Minneapolis, MN 55407-3111

-----Original Message-----

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [mailto:membership.services@sierraclub.org] On Behalf Of Johnie Kemp
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:37 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Johnie Kemp
17781 Hunt Rd
Hillman, MI 49746-8473

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Jennifer Kennedy [jennifer3424@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:37 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jennifer Kennedy
1211 Woodglen Ave
Ypsilanti, MI 48198-6219

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Jessica Kettler [toaosnow@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2009 1:05 PM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 27, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Coal produces more greenhouse gas in the US than all the automobile traffic! And it is still a fossil fuel, a resource that will eventually run out. We need to concentrate our efforts on renewable energy, because THOSE are the jobs and the energy of the future.

Putting people to work in green technology factors is better for the economic state of Michigan, the health of the workers themselves, and the health and well-being of the entire country. It's a win-win-win situation to pursue renewable and green technology as opposed to a dirty, dangerous pollution source.

Please keep our state green and clean.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jessica Kettler
2527 Frankson Ave
Rochester Hills, MI 48307-4639

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Mark Kinney [mark.kinney@utoledo.edu]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:07 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

In addition, allowing the building of this plant will saddle the rate payers with exorbitant costs when they are required to shut down prior

to their life cycle life span because at that time we realize it is not

possible to continue on with producing power this way either because of environmental concerns or because new technology produces power much less expensively. This is not the time to build!!!

Sincerely,

Dr. Mark Kinney
5571 Timberway Dr
PO Box 87
Presque Isle, MI 49777-0087

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Deborah Krieger [krieger@charter.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:37 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

It time to stop putting it off: conserve energy and no more coal

Sincerely,

Mrs. Deborah Krieger
2890 Lakeshore Rd
Manistee, MI 49660-9226

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Lester Kyle [llrkyle56@aol.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:08 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lester Kyle
1640 E Mount Hope Hwy
Grand Ledge, MI 48837-9717

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Roxanne Lake [rainbowrox@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:38 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Roxanne Lake
16036 135th Ave
Rodney, MI 49342-9713

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of George Lalonde [noodles2@charter.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:38 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. George Lalonde
1013 W Fulton St
Petoskey, MI 49770-8701

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Claudia Langfeldt [ccla@gmx.de]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:08 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Claudia Langfeldt
3030 Montclair Dr
Clarkston, MI 48348-5066

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Marianne Lepczyk [mlepczyk@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:37 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marianne Lepczyk
609 Highland Park Dr
Traverse City, MI 49686-2850

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Michael Levitt [insol@ix.netcom.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:08 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.
Don't build more of these crappy plants.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Levitt
502 Forest Ave
Royal Oak, MI 48067-1958

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Robert Lindsay [lindsay.robert@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2009 10:45 PM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 25, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Lindsay
601 Sunrise Ave # A2
PO Box 412
Madera, CA 93639-0412

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Gina Lorenzetti [ginarae3@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:38 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Gina Lorenzetti
2102 Jackson Pl
Ann Arbor, MI 48103-4044

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Marie Maier [mcmaier@prodigy.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:08 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

Oh Please! We present living beings have the opportunity of our lives to change the horrific past of pollution, disease and death by ending dirty coal production. Let's promote new energy for the lives of the future and NOT put forth the plans for the proposed Consumers Energy coal Plant. Please!
Have a wider vision of more responsibility and depth.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Marie Maier
15528 Grovedale St
Roseville, MI 48066-4034

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of David Marckini [dave.julie.m@juno.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:07 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state. We will continue to need existing coal plants as we change to clean power, but there is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable future. Efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Marckini
16950 Ferndale Trl
West Olive, MI 49460-9226

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Nathan Martin [rabbinm@umich.edu]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:37 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Nathan Martin
403 Pauline Blvd
Ann Arbor, MI 48103-5566

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Sharon McIntosh [m-mcintosh@att.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:38 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon McIntosh
8234 Milburn St
Westland, MI 48185-1768

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Kevin McKaig [mittelwerke@charter.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:07 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kevin McKaig
McKaig
Reed City, MI 49677

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Janey McMillen [janeymcmillen@hotmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:38 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The Draft Permit for the Proposed Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan

Sincerely,

Dr. Janey McMillen
806 Knollwood Dr
Apex, NC 27502-1526

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Roger Meade [rogerandjo@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:09 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

If you have been anywhere near Bay City or Saginaw Bay you have seen the plume of dirty, sulfur laden smoke that stretches across the sky for miles and miles every day. We need to eliminate this, not create a new plume! We need to be investing in conservation efforts and incentives for user point renewable energy infrastructure. Let's not waste any more money on obsolete technology. There is no such thing as "clean coal". It is time for Michigan's elected and appointed leaders to come in to the 21st century on energy policy.

Sincerely,

Mr. Roger Meade
623 Michigan St
Ishpeming, MI 49849-1636

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Sharon Mello [mello_one@hotmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:39 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Mello
53353 Van Dyke Ave Apt 1
Shelby Township, MI 48316-1800

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Chuck Metcalfe [mobilediesel@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:37 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chuck Metcalfe
44001 Burtrig Rd
Belleville, MI 48111-2981

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Elizabeth Meyers [emeyers1@comcast.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:09 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan. The spewing of pollution needs to end!

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Meyers
8900 E Jefferson Ave Apt 513
Detroit, MI 48214-2960

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Jerry Micham [mcj_1981@hotmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:38 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state. This is very short term thinking. There is no need for new coal-fired power plants. .

Sincerely,

Mr. Jerry Micham
3242 Park Forest Dr
West Bloomfield, MI 48324-3229

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Deborah Mills [dlmills163@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:39 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. Deborah Mills
19289 Beaconsfield St
Harper Woods, MI 48225-2123

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Chrysteen Moelter-Gray [cgmgray@mac.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:38 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Chrysteen Moelter-Gray
PMB 307
101 Washington Ave
Grand Haven, MI 49417-1354

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Patricia Morgan [shedee22@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2009 7:07 PM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 27, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The Draft Permit for the Proposed Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Morgan
86 Winter Valley Dr
Fenton, MO 63026-4839

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Becky Newton [bjnwat@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:09 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. Becky Newton
7055 Marco Dr
Waterford, MI 48327-1537

-----Original Message-----

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [mailto:membership.services@sierraclub.org] On Behalf Of Carole Pappas

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:09 AM

To: MPSCEDOCKETS

Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carole Pappas
8185 Whiteclift Ln
Grand Blanc, MI 48439-9561

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Joni Pennington [jonipennington@hotmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:08 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joni Pennington
5570 Goodrick Rd
Traverse City, MI 49684-8180

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Carolyn Pesheck [cvpesheck@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:37 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state. With the possibility for a carbon tax, coal plants are even more expensive and the renewable energy alternatives are more cost-effective. Please reject the applications for these two coal-burning power plants.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carolyn Pesheck
5892 Texas Dr
Kalamazoo, MI 49009-8980

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Sebastiano Picciuca [sebastiano41@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:39 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Sebastiano Picciuca
4455 Lakeshore Dr N
Holland, MI 49424-1057

-----Original Message-----

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [mailto:membership.services@sierraclub.org] On Behalf Of Kristine Pierce

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:09 AM

To: MPSCEDOCKETS

Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kristine Pierce
891 N Channel Dr
Harsens Island, MI 48028-9780

Felice, Lisa (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Carol Plain [cplain@aihfs.org]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2009 3:10 PM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 29, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carol Plain
6527 Vaughan St
Detroit, MI 48228-4946

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Bev Pollard [grambeequilts@aol.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:09 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Bev Pollard
8300 E D Ave
Richland, MI 49083-9783

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of susan Proctor [sueziq@chartermi.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:07 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mrs. susan Proctor
96 E Hillis Rd
Stanton, MI 48888-9449

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Dennis Proffitt [goldeneaglevideo@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:07 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dennis Proffitt
4938 W Liberty Rd
Ann Arbor, MI 48103-9798

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Huguette Rairigh [huguette@rairigh.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:38 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Huguette Rairigh
30831 Hunters Dr Apt 21
Farmington Hills, MI 48334-1249

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Jacquelyn Ramsey [woodsprite@comcast.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:39 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jacquelyn Ramsey
60350 Jewell Rd
Washington, MI 48094-2311

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Elaine Regan [reganec@aol.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:08 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Elaine Regan
3724 Academy St
Dearborn, MI 48124-3329

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of theodore rhode [willej@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:37 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. theodore rhode
5240 S Locustwood Ave
Fort Gratiot, MI 48059-3123

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Debra Rowe [dgrowe@oaklandcc.edu]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:38 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

As past chair of the finance committee for the Renewable Energies Program for the MPSC, I am clear that there is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Dr. Debra Rowe
30197 Mayfair Dr
Farmington Hills, MI 48331-2157

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Leon Rutledge [leon.gandalf@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:37 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Leon Rutledge
7231 S M 88 Hwy Unit M5
Bellaire, MI 49615-9280

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Thomas Saffold [tsaffold@provide.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:08 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Saffold
2605 Pittsfield Blvd
Ann Arbor, MI 48104-5242

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Navin Shah [shahtiger@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:07 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Dr. Navin Shah
1401 Lincoln Ave
Ann Arbor, MI 48104-4430

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Gloria Siers [gscompass@hotmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:38 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan. Protect our environment and the health of current and future generations!

Sincerely,

Mrs. Gloria Siers
941 W Wing Rd
Mount Pleasant, MI 48858-9530

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Tom & Mary Ellen Snyder [tuner49@starband.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:07 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan. We can even get Federal money to help create these green industries that will hire many Michigan workers.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tom & Mary Ellen Snyder
1045 N Bellamy Rd
Ionia, MI 48846-9536

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Susan Soderstrom [suejoy@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:07 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Soderstrom
11414 Woodbridge Dr
Grand Blanc, MI 48439-1133

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Paul Stachurski [p.stachurski@comcast.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:08 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Stachurski
3729 Manning St
Muskegon, MI 49444-4138

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Angela Swarr [angelaswarr@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:38 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Angela Swarr
1658 Heritage Dr
Canton, MI 48188-1265

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Jennifer Tava [jentava@hotmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:07 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jennifer Tava
23930 Harvard Shore Dr
Saint Clair Shores, MI 48082-2507

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Daniel Teare [dr05jkt@att.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:39 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

I beg you to stop considering any new coal fired power plants., Thank you in advance for doing so.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Teare
724 Adams St
East Tawas, MI 48730-9306

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Patricia Tenney [patenney@comcast.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:38 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

We must think about the future of our planet not just financial considerations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Tenney
520 E Greenlawn Ave
Lansing, MI 48910-3305

-----Original Message-----

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [mailto:membership.services@sierraclub.org] On Behalf Of Jan van Schaik

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:09 AM

To: MPSCEDOCKETS

Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jan van Schaik
230 Pretty Lake Hts
Kalamazoo, MI 49009-5924

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Dick Ward [rward1222@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:38 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dick Ward
2226 Niles Buchanan Rd
Niles, MI 49120-8923

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Fran Watson [daherlover@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2009 5:45 PM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 25, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The Draft Permit for the Proposed Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan

Sincerely,

Ms. Fran Watson
9734 Jamacha Blvd
Spring Valley, CA 91977-5227

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Joel Welty [jwelty@power-net.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:07 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joel Welty
4736 S Rolland Rd
Blanchard, MI 49310-9129

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of LORI WHITBECK [tradermama@hotmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 5:37 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The Draft Permit for the Proposed Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan

Sincerely,

Miss LORI WHITBECK
23500 The Old Rd Spc 55
Newhall, CA 91321-6049

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Charlie Williams [lirico1@netscape.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:09 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charlie Williams
1178 Birdie Ln
Holland, MI 49423-6622

Bowers, Catherine (DELEG)

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Wayne Zenker [mpshampoo@msn.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:39 AM
To: MPSCEDOCKETS
Subject: Consumers Energy Company - Alternatives Analysis (PTI No. 341-07) & MPSC Case No. U-15996

Jun 28, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Kunkle,

The draft permit for the proposed Consumers Energy Coal Plant must be denied and the Alternatives Analysis must be rejected as inadequate. Based on the analysis submitted by Consumers Energy, the information provided does not establish the need for the plant or the lack of alternatives to the plant.

First, there is no need for this, or any, new coal-fired power plant in the State of Michigan. The filing makes unsubstantiated claims that the state's energy needs will grow and underestimates the ability of more cost-effective clean energy alternatives to meet energy needs in the future. Consumers own recent projections show a decline in current and future energy demand. Projections for electric demand in the state show there is no need for any new plant and that electric demand in the State of Michigan is in fact level or even decreasing.

Second, there are cleaner alternatives. Even when demand starts growing again, increased demand can easily be met with cleaner alternatives. Cleaner alternatives such as clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency can meet the need while creating clean energy jobs for the state.

There is no need for new coal-fired power plants in our foreseeable

future and efficiency measures can meet our energy demands for decades, save our citizens' precious energy dollars in these very difficult times, and create tens of thousands of new green jobs to stimulate immediate and long-term economic health across Michigan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Wayne Zenker
15643 Cameron St
Southgate, MI 48195-3245