

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

\* \* \* \* \*

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission )  
approval of interconnection agreements and )  
amendments. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

At the June 3, 2008 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,  
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. Orjiakor N. Isiogu, Chairman  
Hon. Monica Martinez, Commissioner  
Hon. Steven A. Transeth, Commissioner

**ORDER**

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of interconnection agreements  
and amendments:

Case No. U-12952 AT&T Michigan and TDS Metrocom, LLC  
Application filed May 14, 2008 for approval of an eleventh  
amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises  
definition of "business lines" to comply with U.S. District Court,  
Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the  
MPSC's TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).

Case No. U-12952 AT&T Michigan and TDS Metrocom, LLC  
Application filed May 14, 2008 for approval of a twelfth  
amendment to an interconnection agreement (extending  
term until December 4, 2010).

Case No. U-13218 AT&T Michigan and RACC Enterprises, LLC  
Application filed April 30, 2008 for approval of a fourth amendment  
to an interconnection agreement (revises definition of "business  
lines" to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of  
Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC's TRO/TRRO order in  
Case No. U-14447).

- Case No. U-13530 AT&T Michigan and Budget Phone, Inc.  
Application filed on May 19, 2008 for approval of a fifth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises definition of “business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-13690 AT&T Michigan and QuantumShift Communications, Inc.  
Application filed May 8, 2008 for approval of a fifth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises definition of “business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-13943 AT&T Michigan and T2 Communications, L.L.C.  
Application filed May 6, 2008 for approval of a seventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises definition of “business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-14222 AT&T Michigan and The Winn Telephone Company, d/b/a Winn Telecom  
Application filed May 6, 2008 for approval of a sixteenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises definition of “business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-14640 AT&T Michigan and Lightyear Network Solutions, LLC  
Application filed May 19, 2008 for approval of a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises definition of “business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-14640 AT&T Michigan and Lightyear Network Solutions, LLC  
Application filed May 19, 2008 for approval of a fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement (extending the agreement’s term to January 14, 2011).
- Case No. U-14723 AT&T Michigan and Carr Telephone Company  
Application filed May 14, 2008 for approval of a sixth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises definition of “business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of

Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC's TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).

- Case No. U-15363 AT&T Michigan and BCR Network Services, Inc., d/b/a The Data Warehouse  
Application filed May 13, 2008 for approval of a tenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises definition of "business lines" to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC's TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-15520 Frontier Communications of Michigan, Inc., and Charter Fiberlink - Michigan, LLC  
Application filed April 3, 2008 for approval of an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-15579 AT&T Michigan and Spectrotel, Inc.  
Application filed May 8, 2008 for approval of an interconnection agreement (a prior interconnection agreement between the parties, approved on December 20, 2005, in Case No. U-14695, will terminate upon the effective date of this order).
- Case No. U-15585 AT&T Michigan and Access One, Inc.  
Application filed May 20, 2008 for approval of an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-15586 Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems and Castle Wire, Inc.  
Application filed May 22, 2008 for approval of an interconnection agreement.

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
  - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
    - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
    - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity;
  - ...
- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review

of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

After reviewing the applications, agreements, and amendments, the Commission finds that it should approve the applications. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements shall be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

- A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.
- B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party aggrieved by this order may file an action in the appropriate federal District Court pursuant to 47 USC 252(e)(6).

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

---

Orjiakor N. Isiogu, Chairman

---

Monica Martinez, Commissioner

---

Steven A. Transeth, Commissioner

By its action of June 3, 2008.

---

Mary Jo Kunkle, Executive Secretary

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

STATE OF MICHIGAN     )

U-15585

County of Ingham     )

E. David Lechler, being duly sworn, deposes and says that on June 4, 2008, A.D. he served a copy of the attached **Commission's Order** by first class mail, postage prepaid, or by inter-departmental mail, to the persons as shown on the attached service lists.

\_\_\_\_\_  
E. David Lechler

Subscribed and sworn to before me  
this 4<sup>th</sup> day of June 2008

\_\_\_\_\_  
Sharron A. Allen  
Notary Public, Ingham County, MI  
My commission expires August 16, 2011  
Acting in Ingham County, MI

SERVICE LIST FOR DOCKET # U - 15585-                      CASE #  
DATE OF PREPARATION: 06/03/2008

---

MR. MARK JOZWIAK  
Access One, Inc.  
820 W. JACKSON BLVD.  
SUITE 650  
CHICAGO IL 60607

MS. ROBIN GLEASON  
AT&T MICHIGAN  
221 N. WASHINGTON SQUARE  
GROUND FLOOR  
LANSING MI 48933

MR. CRAIG A. ANDERSON  
AT&T MICHIGAN  
444 MICHIGAN AVENUE, ROOM 1750  
DETROIT MI 48226 2517

**SUBSCRIPTION LIST  
ALL COMMUNICATION ORDERS**

MR. DON EITNIEAR  
DIT – TELECOMMUNICATIONS DIV.  
HANNA BUILDING, FIRST FLOOR  
LANSING MI **ID MAIL**

MR. MICHAEL BYRNE  
SENATE DEMOCRATIC STAFF  
ROMNEY BUILDING  
LANSING MI **ID MAIL**