

Exhibit A
Case No. U-13400

FIRST AMENDMENT

Executed as of September 17, 2003

TO

INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

by and between

Various SBC Communications, Inc., owned companies, including

SBC MICHIGAN

and

NORLIGHT TELECOMMUNICATIONS, LLC

AMENDMENT
to the
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

by and between

MICHIGAN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY d/b/a SBC MICHIGAN

AND

NORLIGHT TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. d/b/a NORLIGHT

The Interconnection Agreement (“the Agreement”) by and between Michigan Bell Telephone Company d/b/a SBC Michigan (SBC Michigan) and Norlight Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a Norlight (“CLEC”), approved by the Commission is hereby amended as follows:

1.0 AMENDMENTS TO THE AGREEMENT

1.1 Add UNE Combining Appendix (See Attachment “A”)

1.2 Add Schedule-UNE Combinations for Michigan. (See Attachment “B”)

2.0 In entering into this Amendment, the Parties acknowledge and agree that neither Party is waiving any of its rights, remedies or arguments with respect to any orders, decisions, legislation or proceedings and any remands thereof, including but not limited to its rights under the United States Supreme Court’s opinion in *Verizon v. FCC, et al*, 535 U.S. 467 (2002); the D.C. Circuit’s decision in *United States Telecom Association, et. al v. FCC*, 290 F.3d 415 (D.C. Cir. 2002) (“*USTA decision*”); the FCC’s Triennial Review Order, adopted on February 20, 2003, on remand from the *USTA decision* and pursuant to the FCC’s Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, *Review of Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers*, CC Docket No. 01-338 (FCC 01-361) (rel. Dec. 20, 2001); the FCC’s Order *In the Matter of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996*, 15 FCC Rcd 1760 (FCC 99-370) (rel. Nov. 24, 1999), including its Supplemental Order Clarification (FCC 00-183) (rel. June 2, 2000), in CC Docket 96-98; the FCC’s Order on Remand and Report and Order in CC Dockets No. 96-98 and 99-68, 16 FCC Rcd 9151 (2001), (rel. April 27, 2001) (“*ISP Compensation Order*”), which was remanded in *WorldCom, Inc. v. FCC*, 288 F.3d 429 (D.C. Cir. 2002); or the Public Utilities Act of Illinois, which was amended on May 9, 2003 to add Sections 13-408 and 13-409, 220 ILCS 5/13-408 and 13-409, and enacted into law (“*Illinois Law*”). On May 9, 2003, the Public Utilities Act of

Illinois was amended to add Sections 13-408 and 13-409, 220 ILCS 5/13-408 and 13-409, and enacted into law ("Illinois Law"). The Illinois Law establishes a specific method for setting certain UNE rates in Illinois, mandates that the Illinois Commerce Commission ("ICC") apply the method and determine the rates ("ICC Rates"), and expressly deems all interconnection agreements to be amended to contain the ICC Rates immediately upon the ICC's announcement of such adjusted rates, without further action. Rather, in entering into this Amendment, each Party fully reserves all of its rights, remedies and arguments with respect to any decisions, orders or proceedings and the Illinois Law, including but not limited to its right to dispute whether any UNEs and/or UNE combinations identified in the Agreement and this Amendment must be provided under Sections 251(c)(3) and 251(d) of the Act, and under this Agreement. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement and in addition to fully reserving its other rights, SBC Michigan reserves its right, to the extent SBC Michigan has not already invoked the FCC ISP terminating compensation in Michigan and incorporated the rates, terms and conditions of such plan into this Agreement, to exercise its option at any time to adopt on a date specified by SBC Michigan the FCC ISP terminating compensation plan, after which date ISP-bound traffic will be subject to the FCC's prescribed terminating compensation rates, and other terms and conditions, and seek conforming modifications to this Agreement. In the event that a state or federal regulatory or legislative body or a court of competent jurisdiction, in any proceeding, finds, rules and/or otherwise orders that any of the UNEs and/or UNE combinations provided for under this Agreement and this Amendment do not meet the necessary and impair standards set forth in Section 251(d)(2) of the Act, the affected provision will be immediately invalidated, modified or stayed as required to effectuate the subject order upon written request of either Party ("Written Notice"). In addition, to the extent this Agreement is in effect in Illinois, the Parties agree that any ICC orders implementing the Illinois Law, including, without limitation, the ICC Rates, shall automatically apply to this Agreement (for the state of Illinois only) as of the effective date of any such order(s) upon Written Notice, and as soon as practical thereafter, SBC Illinois shall begin billing CLEC the ICC Rates; provided, however, the Parties acknowledge and agree that no later than sixty (60) days from the Written Notice, the Parties will execute a conforming Amendment to this Agreement so that the Agreement accurately reflects the ICC Rates and SBC Illinois will issue any adjustments, as needed, to reflect that the ICC Rates became effective between the Parties as of the effective date of the applicable ICC order(s). With respect to all other Written Notices hereunder, the Parties shall have sixty (60) days from the Written Notice to attempt to negotiate and arrive at an agreement on the appropriate conforming modifications required to the Agreement. If the Parties are unable to agree upon the conforming modifications required within sixty (60) days from the Written Notice, any disputes between the Parties concerning the interpretations of the actions required or the provisions affected by such order shall be handled under the Dispute Resolution Procedures set forth in this Agreement.

3.0 MISCELLANEOUS

- 3.1 This Amendment shall not modify or extend the Effective Date or Term of the underlying Agreement, but rather, shall be coterminous with such Agreement.
- 3.2 EXCEPT AS MODIFIED HEREIN, ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OR THE UNDERLYING AGREEMENT SHALL REMAIN UNCHANGED AND IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT.
- 3.3 This Amendment shall be filed with and is subject to approval by the Michigan Public Service Commission and shall become effective ten (10) days following approval by such Commission.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Amendment to the Agreement was exchanged in triplicate on this 17th day of September, 2003, by Michigan Bell Telephone Company d/b/a SBC Michigan, signing by and through its duly authorized representative, and CLEC, signing by and through its duly authorized representative.

Norlight Telecommunications, Inc.
d/b/a Norlight

Michigan Bell Telephone Company
d/b/a SBC Michigan
by SBC Telecommunications, Inc., its
authorized agent

By: *T. E. Valery*

By: *M. Auinbaub*

Title: *Vice Pres. and General Counsel*

Title: ^{For/} President - Industry Markets

Name: *Thomas E. Valentyn*
(Print or Type)

Name: **Mike Auinbaub**
(Print or Type)

Date: *August 7, 2003*

Date: **SEP 17 2003**

ATTACHMENT “A”

APPENDIX UNE COMBINING

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION.....	3
2.	GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.....	4
3.	NEW COMBINATIONS INVOLVING UNEs.....	4
4.	RESERVATION OF RIGHTS.....	9
5.	APPLICABILITY OF OTHER RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS.....	10

APPENDIX UNE COMBINING

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This Appendix, UNE Combining, sets forth the terms and conditions which govern the combining activities involving unbundled network elements (UNEs) to be performed by the applicable SBC Communications Inc. (SBC) owned Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier (ILEC). CLEC's shall not combine or use UNEs in a manner that will impair the ability of other Telecommunications Carriers to obtain access to UNEs or to Interconnect with **SBC-13STATE**'s network.
- 1.2 SBC Communications Inc. (SBC) means the holding company which owns the following ILECs: Illinois Bell Telephone Company, Indiana Bell Telephone Company Incorporated, Michigan Bell Telephone Company d/b/a Ameritech Michigan, Nevada Bell Telephone Company d/b/a SBC Nevada Bell Telephone Company, The Ohio Bell Telephone Company, Pacific Bell Telephone Company d/b/a SBC Pacific Bell Telephone Company, The Southern New England Telephone Company, Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, and Wisconsin Bell, Inc. d/b/a Ameritech Wisconsin.
- 1.3 The terms and conditions contained in this Appendix shall supersede any conflicting terms and conditions contained within the CLEC's Interconnection Agreement. The CLEC's underlying contract must contain all the necessary UNEs to make any combination involving UNEs; there are no UNEs offered or otherwise provided for in this Appendix. Unless and until an amendment providing for any UNE not included in the Agreement is reached, a combination involved any such UNE cannot be ordered or implemented. This Appendix does not create, imply, or otherwise form the basis of any **SBC-13STATE** obligation to unbundle any network element or to engage in any negotiations under 47 U.S.C. §§ 251, 252 or otherwise.
- 1.4 Other than as expressly set forth in this Appendix, or as contained in the Agreement and which is not superseded per Section 1.3 of this Appendix, **SBC-13STATE** has no obligation to combine UNEs, or to combine a UNE with a network element possessed by CLEC.
- 1.5 As used herein, **SBC-13STATE** means the applicable above listed ILECs doing business Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Michigan, Missouri, Nevada, Ohio, Oklahoma, Texas, and Wisconsin.
- 1.6 **PACIFIC** -As used herein, **PACIFIC** means the applicable above listed ILEC doing business in California.

2. GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

- 2.1 Except upon request of CLEC, SBC-13STATE shall not separate CLEC-requested UNEs that are currently combined. (47 CFR § 51.315(b)) SBC-13STATE is not prohibited from or otherwise limited in separating any UNEs not requested by CLEC or a Telecommunications Carrier, including without limitation in order to provide a UNE(s) or other SBC-13STATE offering(s).
- 2.2 SBC-13STATE will not connect to or combine UNEs with any non-251(c)(3) or other SBC-13STATE offering with the exception of tariffed Collocation services.
- 2.3 UNEs may not be connected to or combined with SBC-13STATE access services or other SBC-13STATE tariffed service offerings with the exception of tariffed Collocation services where available. CLEC shall not combine or use UNEs in a manner that will impair the ability of other Telecommunications Carriers to obtain access to Unbundled Network Elements or to Interconnect with SBC-13STATE's network.

3. NEW COMBINATIONS INVOLVING UNEs

- 3.1 Subject to the provisions hereof and upon CLEC request, SBC-13STATE shall meet its combining obligations involving UNEs as and to the extent required by FCC rules and orders, and *Verizon Comm. Inc. v. FCC*, 535 U.S. _____, No. 00-511, 2002 WL 970643 (May 13, 2002) (“*Verizon Comm. Inc.*”) and, to the extent not inconsistent therewith, the rules and orders of the relevant State Commission and any other applicable law.
- 3.2 In the event that SBC-13STATE denies a request to perform the functions necessary to combine UNEs or to perform the functions necessary to combine UNEs with elements possessed by CLEC, SBC-13STATE shall provide written notice to CLEC of such denial and the basis thereof. Any dispute over such denial shall be addressed using the dispute resolution procedures applicable to this Agreement. If such dispute cannot be resolved to the mutual satisfaction of the parties, SBC-13STATE shall initiate a proceeding before the State commission for the State in which the combination is sought, to prove that such denial meets one or more applicable standards for denial, including without limitation those under the FCC rules and orders, *Verizon Comm. Inc.*, and the Agreement, including Section 3.1 of this Appendix.
- 3.3 In accordance with and subject to the provisions of this Section 3, including Section 3.3.2 and 3.5, the new UNE combinations set forth in the Schedule(s) – UNE Combinations attached and incorporated into this Appendix UNE shall be made available to CLEC as specified in the specific Schedule for a particular State.
 - 3.3.1 A “Pre-existing Combination” shall not be considered a new combination involving UNEs under this Section. A Pre-existing Combination includes all orders within the definition of “Contiguous Interconnection of Network Elements.”

3.3.1.1 “Contiguous Interconnection of Network Elements” means the situation when CLEC orders all the **SBC-13STATE** UNEs required either

- (1) to convert to a combinations of UNEs an **SBC-13STATE** End User customer, another carrier’s pre-existing End User customer served exclusively using UNEs, or CLEC’s or another carrier’s resale End User customer; or
- (2) if the Pre-Existing Combination includes a local loop UNE with unbundled local switching, to activate that Pre-Existing Combination for CLEC (a) without any change in features or functionality that was being provided at the time of the order, and/or (b) the only change needed to route the operator service and directory assistance (“OS/DA”) calls from the End User customer to be served by that Pre-Existing Combination to CLEC’s OS/DA platform via customized routing, and/or (c) with only changes needed in order to change a local switching feature resident and activated in the serving switch and available to the switch port class used to provide service, *e.g.*, call waiting for residential local service, and/or (d) at the time of the order and when the order is worked by **SBC-13STATE**, the End User customer in question is not served by a line sharing arrangement as defined herein (or, if not so defined, by applicable FCC orders) or the technical equivalent, *e.g.*, the loop facility is being used to provide both a voice service and also an xDSL service. (Section 3.3.1.1(2)(b) only applies to orders involving customized routing after customized routing has been established to CLEC’s OS/DA platform from the relevant **SBC-13STATE** local switch, including CLEC’s payment of all applicable charges to establish that routing.)

3.3.1.2 Reconfigurations of existing qualifying special access services to combinations of unbundled loop and transport upon terms and conditions consistent with the FCC’s Supplemental Order Clarification, *In the Matter of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996*, CC Docket No. 96-98, FCC 00-183 (rel. June 2, 2000), shall not be considered a new combination involving UNEs hereunder.

3.3.2 The parties acknowledge that the United States Supreme Court in *Verizon Comm. Inc.* relied on the distinction between an incumbent local exchange carrier such as **SBC-13STATE** being required to perform the functions necessary to combine UNEs and to combine UNEs with elements possessed by a requesting telecommunications carrier, as compared to an incumbent

LEC being required to complete the actual combination. As of the Effective Date, there has been no further ruling or other guidance provided on that distinction and what functions constitute only those that are necessary to such combining. In light of that uncertainty, **SBC-13STATE** is willing to perform the actions necessary to also complete the actual physical combination for those new UNE combinations set forth in the Schedule(s) – UNE Combinations to this Appendix UNE, subject to the following:

- 3.3.2.1 Section 3, including any acts taken pursuant thereto, shall not in any way prohibit, limit or otherwise affect, or act as a waiver by, **SBC-13STATE** from pursuing any of its rights, remedies or arguments, including but not limited to those with respect to *Verizon Comm. Inc.*, the remand thereof, or any FCC or Commission or court proceeding, including its right to seek legal review or a stay of any decision regarding combinations involving UNEs. Such rights, remedies, and arguments are expressly reserved by **SBC-13STATE**. Without affecting the foregoing, this Agreement does not in any way prohibit, limit, or otherwise affect **SBC-13STATE** from taking any position with respect to combinations including UNEs or any issue or subject addressed or related thereto.
- 3.3.2.2 Upon the effective date of any regulatory, judicial, or legislative action setting forth, eliminating, or otherwise delineating or clarifying the extent of an incumbent LEC's UNE combining obligations, **SBC-13STATE** shall be immediately relieved of any obligation to perform any non-included combining functions or other actions under this Agreement or otherwise, and CLEC shall thereafter be solely responsible for any such non-included functions or other actions. This Section 3.3.2.2 shall apply in accordance with its terms, regardless of any "change of law" or "intervening law" or similarly purposed or other provision of the Agreement and, concomitantly, the first sentence of this Section 3.3.2.2 shall not affect the applicability of any such provisions in situations not covered by that first sentence.
- 3.3.2.3 Without affecting the application of Section 3.3.2.2 (which shall apply in accordance with its provisions), upon notice by **SBC-13STATE**, the parties shall engage in good faith negotiations to amend the Agreement to set forth and delineate those functions or other actions that go beyond the ILEC obligation to perform the functions necessary to combine UNEs and combine UNEs with elements possessed by a requesting telecommunications carrier, and to eliminate any **SBC-13STATE** obligation to perform such functions or other actions. If those negotiations do not reach a mutually agreed-to amendment within sixty (60) days after the date of any such notice, the remaining disputes between the parties

regarding those functions and other actions that go beyond those functions necessary to combine UNEs and combine UNEs with elements possessed by a requesting telecommunications carrier, shall be resolved pursuant to the dispute resolution process provided for in this Agreement. Such a notice can be given at any time, and from time to time.

- 3.3.3 For a new UNE combination listed on a Schedule – UNE Combinations does not imply or otherwise indicate the availability of related support system capabilities, including without limitation, whether electronic ordering is available for any particular included new UNE combination in one or more States. Where electronic ordering is not available, manual ordering shall be used.
- 3.3.4 For a new UNE combination listed on a Schedule – UNE Combinations, CLEC shall issue appropriate service requests. These requests will be processed by **SBC-13STATE**, and CLEC will be charged the applicable UNE service order charge(s), in addition to the recurring and nonrecurring charges for each individual UNE and cross connect ordered.
- 3.3.5 Upon notice by **SBC-13STATE**, the parties shall engage in good faith negotiations to amend the Agreement to include a fee(s) for any work performed by **SBC-13STATE** in providing the new UNE combinations set forth in the Schedule(s) – UNE Combinations, which work is not covered by the charges applicable per Section 3.3.4. For any such work that is required to be done by **SBC13-STATE** under Section 3.1, any such fee(s) shall be a reasonable cost-based fee, and shall be calculated using the Time and Material charges as reflected in State-specific pricing. For any such work that is not so required to be done by **SBC13-STATE**, any such fee(s) shall be at a market-based rate. If those negotiations do not reach a mutually agreed-to amendment within sixty (60) days after the date of any such notice, the remaining disputes between the parties concerning any such fee(s) shall be resolved pursuant to the dispute resolution process provided for in this Agreement. Such a notice can be given at any time, and from time to time.
- 3.4 In accordance with and subject to the provisions of this Section 3, any request not included in Section 3.3 in which CLEC wants **SBC-13STATE** to perform the functions necessary to combine UNEs or to perform the functions necessary to combine UNEs with elements possessed by CLEC (as well as requests where CLEC also wants **SBC-13STATE** to complete the actual combination), shall be made by CLEC in accordance with the bona fide request, special request, or equivalent process applicable under the Agreement (generically referred to in this Appendix as “BFR”).
- 3.4.1 In any such BFR, CLEC must designate among other things the UNE(s) sought to be combined and the needed location(s), the order in which the UNEs and any CLEC elements are to be connected, and how each connection

(e.g., cross-connected) is to be made between an **SBC-13STATE** UNE and the network element(s) possessed by CLEC.

- 3.4.2 In addition to any other applicable charges, CLEC shall be charged a reasonable cost-based fee for any combining work that is required to be done by **SBC13-STATE** under Section 3.1. Such fee shall be calculated using the Time and Material charges as reflected in State-specific pricing. **SBC-13STATE**'s preliminary substantive response to the BFR shall include an estimate of such fee for the specified combining. With respect to a BFR in which CLEC requests **SBC-13STATE** to perform work not required by Section 3.1, CLEC shall be charged a market-based rate for any such work.
- 3.5 Without affecting the other provisions hereof, the UNE combining obligations referenced in this Section 3 apply only in situations where each of the following is met:
- 3.5.1 it is technically feasible, including that network reliability and security would not be impaired;
- 3.5.2 **SBC-13STATE**'s ability to retain responsibility for the management, control, and performance of its network would not be impaired;
- 3.5.3 **SBC-13STATE** would not be placed at a disadvantage in operating its own network;
- 3.5.4 it would not impair the ability of other Telecommunications Carriers to obtain access to UNEs or to Interconnect with **SBC-13STATE**'s network; and
- 3.5.5 CLEC is
- 3.5.5.1 unable to make the combination itself; or
- 3.5.5.2 is a new entrant and is unaware that it needs to combine certain UNEs to provide a telecommunications service, but such obligation under this Section 3.5.5 ceases if **SBC-13STATE** informs CLEC of such need to combine.
- 3.6 For purposes of Section 3.5.5 and without limiting other instances in which CLEC may be able to make a combination itself, CLEC is deemed able to make a combination itself when the UNE(s) sought to be combined are available to CLEC, including without limitation:
- 3.6.1 at an **SBC-13STATE** premises where CLEC is physically collocated or has an on-site adjacent collocation arrangement;

- 3.6.2 for **PACIFIC** only, within an Adjacent Location arrangement (if provided for in the Agreement).
- 3.7 Section 3.5.5 shall only begin to apply thirty (30) days after notice by **SBC-13STATE** to CLEC. Thereafter, **SBC-13STATE** may invoke Section 3.5.5 with respect to any request for a combination involving UNEs.
- 3.8 Nothing in this Appendix or the Agreement shall impose any obligation on **SBC-13STATE** to provide UNEs, combinations of UNEs, or combinations of UNE(s) and CLEC's own elements beyond those obligations imposed by the Act, including the rules and orders of the FCC and *Verizon Comm. Inc.*, and to the extent not inconsistent therewith, the rules and orders of the relevant State Commission and any other Applicable Law. The preceding includes without limitation the following:
- 3.8.1 The UNE combination known as an “enhanced extended loop” or “EEL” (a combination of a UNE loop and UNE dedicated transport, with appropriate Cross-Connects, and when needed, multiplexing) shall only be provided to CLEC to the extent that the EEL is used to provide a significant amount of local exchange service to a particular End User customer (this limitation is the same as the requirements set forth in the FCC's Supplemental Order Clarification in CC Docket No. 96-98, FCC 00-183 (rel. June 2, 2000));
- 3.8.2 **SBC-13STATE** will not connect to or combine UNEs with any non-251(c)(3) or other **SBC-13STATE** offerings with the exception of tariffed Collocation services;
- 3.8.3 **SBC-13STATE** need not provide combinations involving network elements that do not constitute required UNEs, or where UNEs are not requested for permissible purposes.

4. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

- 4.1 **SBC-13STATE**'s provision of UNEs identified in this Agreement is subject to the provisions of the Federal Act, including but not limited to, Section 251(d). The Parties acknowledge and agree that on May 24, 2002, the United States District Court for the District of Columbia Circuit issued its decision in *United States Telecom Association, et. al v. FCC*, No. 00-101, in which the Court granted the petitions for review of the Federal Communications Commission's ("FCC") Third Report and Order and Fourth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 96-98 (FCC 99-238) ("the UNE Remand Order") and the FCC's Third Report and Order in CC Docket No. 98-147 and Fourth Report and Order in CC Docket No. 96-98 (FCC 99-355) (rel. December 9, 1999) ("the Line Sharing Order"), specifically vacated the Line Sharing Order, and remanded both these orders to the FCC for further consideration in accordance with the decision. In addition, on November 24, 1999, the FCC issued its Supplemental Order *In the Matter of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996*, (FCC 99-370) and on June 2, 2000, its Supplemental Order Clarification, (FCC 00-183), in CC Docket 96-98

(collectively the "Orders"). By entering into this Agreement which makes available certain UNEs, or any Amendment to this Agreement, neither Party waives any of its rights with respect to such Orders, including but not limited each Party's right to dispute whether any UNEs identified in the Agreement must be provided under Section 251(c)(3) and Section 251(d) of the Act, and under this Agreement. In the event that the FCC, a state regulatory agency or a court of competent jurisdiction, in any proceeding finds, rules and/or otherwise orders ("order") that any of the UNEs and/or UNE combinations provided for under this Agreement do not meet the necessary and impair standards set forth in Section 251(d)(2) of the Act, the affected provision will be immediately invalidated, modified or stayed as required to effectuate the subject order upon written request of either Party. In such event, the Parties shall have sixty (60) days from the effective date of the order to attempt to negotiate and arrive at an agreement on the appropriate conforming modifications required to the Agreement. If the Parties are unable to agree upon the conforming modifications required within sixty (60) days from the effective date of the order, any disputes between the Parties concerning the interpretations of the actions required or the provisions affected by such order shall be handled under the Dispute Resolution Procedures set forth in this Agreement.

5. APPLICABILITY OF OTHER RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS

- 5.1 The provisions of this Appendix are all legitimately related to each other and to the UNE rates, terms and conditions in the Agreement, and shall be subject to all other rates, terms and conditions contained in the Agreement which are legitimately related to this Appendix. Without limiting the general applicability of the foregoing, the following terms and conditions of the Agreement are specifically agreed by the Parties to be legitimately related to, and to be applicable to, each interconnection, service and network element provided hereunder: definitions, interpretation, construction and severability; notice of changes; general responsibilities of the Parties; effective date, term and termination; fraud; deposits; billing and payment of charges; non-payment and procedures for disconnection; dispute resolution; audits; disclaimer of representations and warranties; limitation of liability; indemnification; remedies; intellectual property; publicity and use of trademarks or service marks; no license; confidentiality; intervening law; governing law; regulatory approval; changes in End User local exchange service provider selection; compliance and certification; law enforcement; no third party beneficiaries; disclaimer of agency; relationship of the Parties/independent contractor; subcontracting; assignment; responsibility for environmental contamination; force majeure; taxes; non-waiver; network maintenance and management; signaling; transmission of traffic to third parties; customer inquiries; expenses; conflicts of interest; survival; scope of agreement; amendments and modifications; and entire agreement.

ATTACHMENT “B”

SCHEDULE - UNE COMBINATIONS (Michigan)

UNE-P

2-Wire Basic Analog loop w/ Basic line Port
2-Wire PBX Ground Start Analog loop w/ Ground Start line Port
2-Wire Basic Analog loop w/ Analog DID trunk Port
2-Wire Basic Analog loop w/ Centrex Basic line Port
2-Wire Electronic Key Line Analog Loop with Centrex EKL Line Port
2-Wire 160kbps (ISDN-BRI) Digital Loop with ISDN Direct Line Port
2-Wire 160kbps (ISDN BRI) Digital Loop to ISDN Direct Port
2-Wire 160kbps (ISDN-BRI) Digital Loop with CENTREX ISDN Line Port
4-Wire Digital (Loop) with Digital Trunking Trunk Port
4-Wire Digital Loop with ULS DS1 Trunk Port
4-Wire Digital Loop with ISDN Prime Trunk Port

EELs

2-Wire Analog Loop to DS1 or DS3 UDT
4-Wire Analog Loop to DS1 or DS3 UDT
2-Wire Digital Loop to DS1 or DS3 UDT
4-Wire Digital Loop (DS1 Loop) to DS1 or DS3 UDT