

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter of the application of  
DTE Electric Company for approval  
of special contracts and for other  
relief.

Case No. U-22058

Volume No. 1

PREHEARING

Proceedings held via Microsoft Teams in the  
above-entitled matter before Theresa A.G. Staley, J.D.,  
Administrative Law Judge with MOAHR, for the Michigan  
Public Service Commission, Lansing, Michigan, on  
Wednesday, April 15, 2026, at 9:17 a.m.

APPEARANCES:

JOHN A. JANISZEWSKI, J.D.  
DTE Energy  
One Energy Plaza, #688WCB  
Detroit, Michigan 48226

On behalf of DTE Electric Company

SEAN C. CLARK, J.D.  
(CHRISTOPHER J. BZDOK, J.D.)  
Troposphere Legal, PLC  
420 East Front Street  
Traverse City, Michigan 49686

On behalf of Michigan Environmental Council,  
Natural Resources Defense Council, Sierra  
Club

DON L. KESKEY, J.D.  
(BRIAN COYER, J.D.)  
Public Law Resource Center, PLLC  
333 Albert Avenue, Suite 425  
East Lansing, Michigan 48823

On behalf of Great Lakes Renewable  
Energy Association

Penn Reporting, LLC - lori.penn@yahoo.com

1 APPEARANCES Continued:

2 DANIEL H.B. ABRAMS, J.D.  
3 Environmental Law & Policy Center  
35 E. Wacker Drive, Suite 1600  
Chicago, Illinois 60601

4 -and-  
5 KATHERINE S. DUCKWORTH, J.D.  
6 Environmental Law & Policy Center  
1008 Floral Avenue SE  
East Grand Rapids, Michigan 49506  
kduckworth@elpc.org

7  
8 On behalf of Ecology Center, Environmental  
9 Law & Policy Center, Union of Concerned  
10 Scientists, Vote Solar (CEO); and Institute  
for Energy Innovation, Michigan Energy  
Innovation Business Council

11 STEPHEN A. CAMPBELL, J.D.  
12 Clark Hill, PLC  
500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 3500  
Detroit, Michigan 48226-3485

13 On behalf of Association of Businesses  
14 Advocating Tariff Equity

15 JOEL B. KING,  
16 LUCAS WOLLENZIEN,  
Assistant Attorneys General  
525 West Ottawa, Sixth Floor  
G. Mennen Williams Building  
17 Lansing, Michigan 48913

18 On behalf of Attorney General Dana Nessel

19 DANIEL E. SONNEVELDT,  
20 AMIT T. SINGH,  
ADAM M. COZORT,  
Assistant Attorneys General  
7109 W. Saginaw Highway, Floor 3  
21 Lansing, Michigan 48917

22 On behalf of Michigan Public Service  
23 Commission Staff

24  
25 REPORTED BY: Lori Anne Penn, CSR-1315

Penn Reporting, LLC - lori.penn@yahoo.com

1 Wednesday, April 15, 2026

2 At 9:17 a.m.

3 - - -

4 (Hearing commenced pursuant to due notice.)

5 JUDGE STALEY: O.K. We are on the record  
6 in Case No. U-22058, captioned In the matter of the  
7 application of DTE Electric Company for approval of  
8 special contracts and related relief. Today is the date  
9 and time scheduled for a prehearing in this matter. My  
10 name is Theresa Staley, and I have been assigned to this  
11 matter by the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings  
12 and Rules.

13 May I have appearances for the record,  
14 please, starting the Company.

15 MR. JANISZEWSKI: Good morning, your  
16 Honor. John Janiszewski and Andrea Hayden here on behalf  
17 of DTE Electric Company.

18 JUDGE STALEY: Good morning. And Staff,  
19 please.

20 MR. SONNEVELDT: Good morning, your  
21 Honor. Daniel Sonneveldt appearing on behalf of Staff,  
22 and I'd also like to enter the appearances of Amit Singh  
23 and Adam Cozort.

24 JUDGE STALEY: Good morning. And the  
25 Attorney General.

Penn Reporting, LLC - lori.penn@yahoo.com

1 MR. KING: Good morning, your Honor.  
2 Joel King and Lucas Wollenzien appearing on behalf of  
3 Attorney General Dana Nessel.

4 JUDGE STALEY: Thank you. Good morning.  
5 And NRDC and Michigan Environmental Council.

6 MR. CLARK: Good morning, your Honor.  
7 Sean Clark and Chris Bzdok appearing on behalf of the  
8 Michigan Environmental Council, Natural Resources Defense  
9 Council, and the Sierra Club.

10 JUDGE STALEY: Thank you. Good morning.  
11 And Great Lakes Renewable Energy Association.

12 MR. KESKEY: Good morning, your Honor.  
13 Don Keskey for the Great Lakes Renewable Energy  
14 Association, and also filing an appearance was Brian  
15 Coyer.

16 JUDGE STALEY: Good morning. O.K. And  
17 the Institute for Energy Innovation, et al, Mr. Abrams.

18 MR. ABRAMS: Good morning, your Honor.  
19 Daniel Abrams placing an appearance for the Institute for  
20 Energy Innovation and Michigan Energy Innovation Business  
21 Council, IEI and EIBC, and also placing an appearance on  
22 behalf of my colleague, Katie Duckworth; and then I'm  
23 also appearing on behalf of the Environmental Law &  
24 Policy Center, the Ecology Center, the Union of Concerned  
25 Scientists, and Vote Solar, collectively the Clean Energy  
Penn Reporting, LLC - lori.penn@yahoo.com

1 Organizations, and I'd like to place an appearance on  
2 behalf of Katie Duckworth as well.

3 JUDGE STALEY: Thank you. Did -- O.K.  
4 Energy, Michigan... O.K. I just wanted to make sure I  
5 had everyone listed. Thank you very much. Good morning.  
6 And Mr. Campbell for ABATE.

7 MR. CAMPBELL: Good morning, your Honor.  
8 Steve Campbell, Clark Hill, PLC, on behalf of the  
9 Association of Businesses Advocating Tariff Equity.

10 JUDGE STALEY: Good morning,  
11 Mr. Campbell.

12 All right. Mr. Janiszewski, will you  
13 please address the Company's compliance with the notice  
14 requirement set forth in the executive secretary's letter  
15 of March 19 of this year.

16 MR. JANISZEWSKI: Yes, your Honor. As  
17 reflected by the proofs and affidavits filed in this  
18 docket on April 13, the Company complied with the  
19 executive secretary's directive regarding notice and  
20 publication. The Company also served a copy of its  
21 public testimony and exhibits to each person seeking to  
22 intervene in this case yesterday, April 14.

23 JUDGE STALEY: All right. Thank you.  
24 Does Staff have any concerns with notice in this matter?

25 MR. SONNEVELDT: No, your Honor.

1 JUDGE STALEY: All right. Thank you.

2 All right. I also want to note for the  
3 record that we have a notice of intervention filed by the  
4 Attorney General, Dana Nessel, and we also have timely  
5 petitions to intervene that were filed by Natural  
6 Resources Defense Council and Sierra Club, Great Lakes  
7 Renewable Energy Association, Institute for Energy  
8 Innovation, Michigan Energy Innovation Business Council,  
9 the Ecology Center, the Environmental Law & Policy  
10 Center, Union of Concerned Scientists, Vote Solar, and  
11 ABATE. I think that covers everyone. Did I miss anyone?

12 MR. CLARK: Your Honor, you're missing  
13 Michigan Environmental Council.

14 JUDGE STALEY: Thank you. I keep doing  
15 that. Michigan Environmental Council as well. I did not  
16 see any objections filed to these interventions. Are  
17 there any objections to these interventions today?

18 MR. JANISZEWSKI: No objection, your  
19 Honor.

20 JUDGE STALEY: All right. Thank you.  
21 Then the Attorney General's intervention is granted, and  
22 the petitions for intervention are granted as well.

23 I will note for the record, also, that  
24 the Company has filed a motion for a protective order in  
25 this matter. It is my understanding prior to going on

Penn Reporting, LLC - lori.penn@yahoo.com

1 the record today that the parties are still working  
2 through some of the details of that proposed protective  
3 order, and so prior to going on the record today, the  
4 parties agreed to set a response date. Anyone who wishes  
5 to file a response to that motion for protective order  
6 may do so by April 24 by the end of business, 5:00  
7 o'clock, and we will have a hearing scheduled on that  
8 motion for protective order should the parties be unable  
9 to reach an agreement on that; we will hold that on  
10 Tuesday, April 28, at 10:30 a.m. Is that a correct  
11 recitation, Mr. Janiszewski?

12 MR. JANISZEWSKI: Yes, your Honor.

13 JUDGE STALEY: All right. Thank you.

14 MR. KESKEY: I think there was the  
15 additional plank that the Company was going to file by  
16 this Friday revised contracts; the contracts are not  
17 revised, but some of the contracts are going to have some  
18 of the redactions removed.

19 JUDGE STALEY: That is correct. My  
20 apologies. Mr. Keskey is correct, the Company has agreed  
21 that by this Friday, the 17<sup>th</sup> of April, the Company  
22 will file Exhibits A-16 and A-18 that will -- that had  
23 previously redacted information but will no longer have  
24 redacted information in them. Is that correct,  
25 Mr. Janiszewski?

1 MR. JANISZEWSKI: Your Honor, there will  
2 remain redactions, but much of the original redactions  
3 have been redesignated as public, and the newly filed  
4 exhibits will reflect those redesignated, public  
5 redesignations.

6 JUDGE STALEY: All right.

7 MR. JANISZEWSKI: But there still will  
8 remain certain information redacted.

9 JUDGE STALEY: O.K. And those will be  
10 filed by this Friday, April 17?

11 MR. JANISZEWSKI: Yes, your Honor.

12 JUDGE STALEY: O.K. Now, it is also my  
13 understanding that the parties have reached a consensus  
14 schedule in this case. For the record, I wish to note  
15 that the Commission conveyed that they wish to read the  
16 record in this case, and I have so notified the parties  
17 as they were preparing a schedule in this case; thus, the  
18 contested schedule that was reached by the parties takes  
19 that element into consideration.

20 Mr. Janiszewski, would you like to put  
21 that schedule on the record today.

22 MR. JANISZEWSKI: Yes, your Honor. Staff  
23 and intervenor testimony filed on June 5; rebuttal  
24 testimony filed on June 17; motions to strike filed on  
25 June 23; replies to motions to strike filed on June 26;

1 cross-examination held on June 30 and July 1; initial  
2 briefs due on July 20; and reply briefs due on August 3;  
3 with a discovery turnaround of five business days before  
4 Staff and intervenor testimony and three business days  
5 thereafter, best efforts.

6 JUDGE STALEY: Thank you. I will also  
7 note for the record that the date for reply briefs of  
8 August 3 of this year was a date established by the  
9 Commission when they conveyed that they elected to read  
10 the record in this case.

11 Is that a -- does anyone have any  
12 concerns with the schedule as recited by Mr. Janiszewski  
13 today? (No response.)

14 O.K. Hearing none, that schedule is  
15 adopted.

16 All right. Is there anything further for  
17 the record from any of the parties before we adjourn for  
18 the day? (No response.)

19 O.K. Hearing none.

20 I also want to note, is there anyone here  
21 today who would like to make a Rule 413 statement, Rule  
22 413 statement of position? (No response.)

23 All right. Hearing none.

24 With that, we are adjourned for the day,  
25 and I will get a scheduling memo out this morning that

Penn Reporting, LLC - lori.penn@yahoo.com

1 has all the details that we discussed today. We're off  
2 the record.

3 (At 9:27 a.m., the hearing was adjourned to April  
4 28, 2026, for a motion hearing, and June 30 and July  
5 1, 2026, for cross-examination.)

6 - - -  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Lori Anne Penn (CSR-1315), do hereby certify that I reported in stenotype via Microsoft Teams the proceedings had in the above-entitled matter, that being Case No. U-22058, before Theresa A.G. Staley, J.D., Administrative Law Judge with Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules, for the Michigan Public Service Commission, Lansing, Michigan, on Wednesday, April 15, 2026; and do further certify that the foregoing transcript, consisting of Volume 1, pages 1-11, constitutes a true and correct transcript of my stenotype notes.

*Lori Anne Penn*

---

Lori Anne Penn, CSR-1315  
Penn Reporting, LLC  
lori.penn@yahoo.com

Dated: April 19, 2026