

124 West Allegan Street, Suite 1000
Lansing, Michigan 48933
T (517) 482-5800 F (517) 482-0887
www.fraserlawfirm.com

Douglas J. Austin	Paul V. McCord	Elizabeth M. Siefker	Darrell A. Lindman
Michael E. Cavanaugh	Laura S. Faussié	Andrew J. Moore	John J. Loose
Gary C. Rogers	Jonathan T. Walton, Jr.	Andrew G. Martin	David E. S. Marvin
Michael H. Perry	Norbert T. Madison, Jr.	Danielle Lofton	Mary M. Moyne
Michael P. Donnelly	David J. Houston		Thomas L. Sparks
H. Kirby Albright	Mary P. Levine		Brandon W. Zuk
Edward J. Castellani	Michael C. Levine	Of Counsel	
Thaddeus E. Morgan	Robert D. Burgee	David S. Fry	
Marlaine C. Teahan	Sean P. Gallagher		In Memorium
Mark E. Kellogg	Paula C. Spicer		Archie C. Fraser
Ryan K. Kauffman	Robert J. Andretz	Retired	(1902-1998)
Jared A. Roberts	Matthew L. Tuck	Michael S. Ashton	Everett R. Trebilcock
Jennifer Utter Heston	John R. Fifarek	Mark A. Bush	(1918-2002)
Melisa M. W. Mysliwiec	Charles L. Lasky	Graham K. Crabtree	James R. Davis
Paula J. Manderfield	Amanda S. Marinkovski	Donald A. Hines	(1918-2005)
		Max R. Hoffman	
		Peter D. Houk	Peter L. Dunlap, P.C.

jheston@fraserlawfirm.com
(517) 377-0802

December 20, 2024

Ms. Lisa Felice, Executive Secretary
Michigan Public Service Commission
7109 W. Saginaw Hwy.
Lansing, MI 48917

RE: MPSC Docket No. U-21806

Dear Ms. Felice:

Enclosed herewith for filing in the above-referenced matter, please find the *Petition of Retail Energy Supply Association for Leave to Intervene, Appearance* and its *Certificate of Service*.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact my office. Thank you.

Very truly yours,

Fraser Trebilcock Davis Dunlap & Cavanaugh, P.C.



Jennifer Utter Heston

JUH/dma
Enclosures
cc: All counsel of record

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter of the application of)
CONSUMERS ENERGY COMPANY)
for authority to increase its rates for the)
distribution of natural gas and for)
other relief)
_____)

Case No. U-21806

PETITION OF
RETAIL ENERGY SUPPLY ASSOCIATION
FOR LEAVE TO INTERVENE

NOW COMES the Retail Energy Supply Association (“RESA”)¹, by and through its attorneys, Fraser Trebilcock Davis Dunlap & Cavanaugh, P.C., and hereby submits its petition seeking leave to intervene in the above-entitled action pursuant to Rule 410(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, R 792.10410(1). In support of this petition, RESA states as follows:

1. RESA is a broad and diverse group of retail energy suppliers who share the common vision that competitive retail energy markets deliver a more efficient customer-oriented outcome than a regulated utility structure.

2. RESA members are licensed to sell natural gas to retail customers in Michigan: Interstate Gas Supply, Inc. (“IGS”), Just Energy Michigan Corp. (“Just Energy”), Constellation NewEnergy-Gas Division, LLC (“Constellation”), and Eligo Energy MI, LLC (“Eligo”) are

¹ The comments expressed in this filing represent the position of the Retail Energy Supply Association (RESA) as an organization but may not represent the views of any particular member of the Association. Founded in 1990, RESA is a broad and diverse group of retail energy suppliers dedicated to promoting efficient, sustainable and customer-oriented competitive retail energy markets. RESA members operate throughout the United States delivering value-added electricity and natural gas service at retail to residential, commercial and industrial energy customers. More information on RESA can be found at www.resausa.org.



licensed Alternative Gas Suppliers (“AGS”). IGS, Just Energy, Constellation, and Eligo currently serve Gas Customer Choice (“GCC”) and end use transportation (“EUT”) customers on the Consumers Energy Company (“Consumers”) system.

3. This docket involves an application by Consumers for authority to increase its rates for the distribution of natural gas and for other relief. Consumers seeks to increase rates by \$248 million based on a test year ending October 31, 2026. Included in Consumers’ request are significant investments in utility infrastructure and changes to its Transmission Only rate.

4. Additionally, included in Consumers’ existing rates is its Group Transportation Service Pilot Program. The Group Transportation Service Pilot Program was the result of advocacy by RESA in Consumers’ 2019 natural gas rate proceeding, MPSC Case No. U-20650. RESA members utilize this program to pool their customers. RESA is particularly interested in Consumers’ proposed changes to this transportation service.

5. RESA members have direct and vital interests in the issues raised in this docket. RESA members are both Consumers customers and GCC and EUT suppliers participating in the Consumers transportation market. RESA members have direct interest in the rates, terms and conditions proposed by Consumers. RESA members clearly have a direct financial interest in the GCC and EUT program rates, terms and conditions of service.

6. There are several legal bases for standing to intervene in a proceeding before the MPSC. The first is standing as of right. This Commission has repeatedly applied the two-prong test for standing as of right set forth in *Association of Data Processing Service Organizations, Inc. v Camp*, 397 US 150; 90 S. Ct. 827; 250 L.Ed. 184 (1970), which has been applied to utility matters in *Drake v The Detroit Edison Co*, 453 F Supp 1123 (WD Mich, 1978). As set forth in *Association of Data Processing*, the two-prong test consists of a showing that:

(1) the petitioner would likely suffer injury in fact (i.e., its interests are endangered or at issue); and (2) the petitioner's interests that are allegedly endangered are within the zone of interests to be protected or regulated by the statute under consideration. See, e.g., *In re Michigan Consolidated Gas Co*, MPSC Case No. U-10150 (December 8, 1992).

7. RESA satisfies the "injury in fact" test, because proposed changes to Consumers' GCC and EUT program will impose costs on RESA members. RESA members will incur a direct financial impact as a result of changes in Consumers' customer choice and transportation rates, terms and conditions of service.

8. The second prong of the two-pronged test for standing as a matter of right is a showing that the prospective intervenor's interest falls within the "zone of interests" to be protected or regulated in the context of the case. The rates, terms, and conditions of Consumers' GCC, EUT and Group Transportation Service programs are of interest to RESA and are in issue in this case. The rates, terms, and conditions of Consumers' GCC, EUT and Group Transportation Service programs fall within the zone of interests to be regulated by the MPSC in this case, and RESA's interest in fair and reasonable rates, terms, and conditions falls within the zone of interests to be protected in this case.

9. Having demonstrated that its interests satisfy the two-pronged test for standing as a matter of right, RESA respectfully submits that it is entitled to intervene in this case as a matter of right.

10. Even if it were determined that RESA does not have standing as a matter of right, it would be entitled to intervene under the MPSC's discretionary intervention standards. "[T]he Commission's discretion to grant leave to intervene is broader than the two-prong test. As recognized in prior Commission orders, the requirements for standing before the

Commission are not as strict as those applied by the courts. Unlike a court of law, an administrative agency can allow intervention whenever the resulting delay will likely be outweighed by the benefit of the intervenor's participation." *In re Michigan Consolidated Gas Co*, MPSC Case No. U-10150, p 5 (December 8, 1992) (finding that discretionary intervention was appropriate, and "a detailed discussion of the two-prong test is unnecessary").

11. Indeed, the two-pronged test does not apply when granting permissive intervention. "The granting of permissive intervention without satisfying the two-pronged test is a long-established Commission practice." *In re DTE Gas Co*, MPSC Case No. U-17332, p 4 (May 13, 2014).

12. Discretionary intervention is appropriate where public policy warrants a party's involvement because a prospective intervenor can provide useful information to the Commission or a unique perspective on the issues to be resolved. See, e.g., *In re Mascotech Forming Technologies, Inc.*, MPSC Case No. U-11057 (June 5, 1996); *In re MCI Metro Access Transmission, Inc.*, MPSC Case No. U-10610 (November 30, 1994); and *In the matter, on the Commission's own motion, to investigate the appropriateness of instituting a surcharge to assist in the funding of the Gas Technology Institute*, MPSC Case No. U-14561 (October 18, 2005). The Commission has held that a proper case for permissive intervention exists when a proposed intervenor "could be expected to bring helpful information to the Commission's attention that might not otherwise be available." *In re International Transmission Co*, MPSC Case No. U-16200, p 4 (October 14, 2010).

13. "Permissive intervention has also been granted where a proceeding 'raises novel questions and important policy issues' and the intervenor will 'bring a unique perspective' to

the case.” *In re DTE Electric Co*, MPSC Case No. U-17319, p 10 (March 6, 2014), quoting, MPSC Case No. U-11057, pp 2-3 (June 5, 1996).

14. RESA is a large and diverse group of retail energy suppliers operating in competitive retail energy markets across many states. RESA has a proven track record of successful retail competition development activities and promoting vibrant and sustainable retail energy markets for residential, commercial and industrial customers. RESA’s breadth of diverse retail energy market experiences makes it particularly well-suited to bring new and helpful information to the MPSC that might not otherwise be available.

15. RESA members are active participants in Consumers’ GCC, EUT, and Group Transportation Service programs, specifically. RESA members have valuable insight into the operation of the GCC, EUT, and Group Transportation Service programs and can offer important insights into the implications of various recommendations concerning Consumers’ programs going forward. RESA members have direct knowledge of the impact of the proposed tariff changes on AGS entities operating on Consumers’ system and on the customers they serve.

16. Thus, RESA has significant interests in this case, offers a unique perspective, and is capable of providing information useful to the Commission. RESA has demonstrated that it warrants intervention in this case both as of right and on a permissive basis.

17. Notice should be taken of the fact that this same RESA has previously participated as an intervenor in cases involving Consumers’ natural gas rates and tariffs, including Consumers’ general rate cases in MPSC Case Nos. U-21490, U-21308, U-21148, U-20650, U-20134, U-18424, U-17882, U-17643 and U-16418, Consumers’ GCR proceedings

in MPSC Case Nos. U-21437, U-21270, U-21063, U-21062, U-20815, U-20542, U-20234, U-20209, U-17693 and U-17133-R, and Consumers' GCC and EUT programs proceeding in MPSC Case No. U-17900. RESA also participated in Consumers' natural gas curtailment tariff proceeding, MPSC Case No. U-21086.

18. Further, RESA was granted intervention as a party in numerous other proceedings involving GCC and EUT issues, such as Michigan Gas Utilities Corporation's general rate cases, Case Nos. U-21366 and U-17880 (daily balancing requirement on gas transportation customers and GCC tariff changes), DTE Gas' general rate cases, MPSC Case Nos. U-21291, U-20940, U-20642 and U-18999, DTE Gas' GCR proceedings, MPSC Case Nos. U-21439, U-21272, U-21065, U-21064, U-20816, U-20544, U-20236, U-20210, U-20076, U-18412, U-18152, U-17941-R, U-17941, U-17691-R, U-17691, U-17332 and U-17131 (all involving GCC capacity reservation charges), SEMCO's transportation balancing tariff case, MPSC Case No. U-15953, SEMCO's general rate cases MPSC Case Nos. U-20479 and U-16169, and in Michigan Consolidated Gas Company's general rate cases, MPSC Case Nos. U-21366 and U-16400.

19. It is the position of RESA that GCC, EUT and Group Transportation Service program proposals should be carefully examined and revised as necessary to assure that the rates, terms, and conditions are just and reasonable, as required by law.

20. RESA reserves the right to take different positions if, and when, this case proceeds to full hearings and following a full review of the testimony filed in this case and responses to discovery, as may be relevant and appropriate. RESA further reserves the right to take other positions and/or seek other relief based on any further proposals that may be submitted by other parties in this case.

21. The relief that RESA seeks in this proceeding is an order approving only those rates, terms and conditions of service that are just, reasonable and lawful. RESA reserves the right to seek other relief based on a review of the filings and/or discovery responses in this proceeding.

22. RESA's interests, as set forth above, are not adequately represented by the present parties and, therefore, it would be detrimental to the public interest to deny this Petition to Intervene.

23. Because the issues set forth above are of great significance to RESA and to the public, a denial of this Petition would result in a miscarriage of justice.

WHEREFORE, RESA hereby respectfully requests that this Honorable Commission grant it Leave to Intervene in the above-entitled proceedings as a full party of record.

Respectfully submitted,

**FRASER TREBILCOCK DAVIS
DUNLAP & CAVANAUGH, P.C.**

ATTORNEYS FOR RETAIL ENERGY SUPPLY ASSOCIATION

Date: December 20, 2024

By:



Jennifer Utter Heston (P65202)

Business Address:

124 W. Allegan, Ste. 1000

Lansing, MI 48933

Telephone: (517) 482-5800

E-mail: jheston@fraserlawfirm.com



MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ENTRY OF APPEARANCE IN AN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

This form is issued as provided for by 1939 PA 3, as amended, and by 1933 PA 254, as amended. The filing of this form, or an acceptable alternative, is necessary to ensure subsequent service of any hearing notices, Commission orders, and related hearing documents.

General Instructions:

Type or print legibly in ink. For assistance or clarification, please contact the Public Service Commission at (517) 284-8090.

*Please Note: The Commission will provide **electronic** service of documents to all parties in this proceeding.*

THIS APPEARANCE TO BE ENTERED IN ASSOCIATION WITH THE ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING:

Case / Company Name: Consumers Energy Company Docket No. U-21806

Please enter my appearance in the above-entitled matter on behalf of:

1. (Name) Retail Energy Supply Association ("RESA")
2. (Name)
3. (Name)
4. (Name)
5. (Name)
6. (Name)
7. (Name)

Name Jennifer Utter Heston
Address Fraser Trebilcock Davis & Dunlap, P.C.
124 W. Allegan, Ste. 1000
City Lansing State MI
Zip 48933 Phone (517) 482-5800
Email jheston@fraserlawfirm.com
Date 12/20/24

Signature: 

<input type="checkbox"/> I am not an attorney
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I am an attorney whose: Michigan Bar # is P- <u>65202</u> _____ Bar # is: _____ (state)

Save Form

STATE OF MICHIGAN
BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter of the application of)
CONSUMERS ENERGY COMPANY)
for authority to increase its rates for the)
distribution of natural gas and for)
other relief)
_____)

Case No. U-21806

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

hereby certifies that, on the 20th day of December 2024, she served *Retail Energy Supply Association's Petition to Intervene, Appearance, Letter to MPSC, and Proof of Service* on the persons identified in the attached service list by electronic mail and filed electronically in the above-referenced matter with the Michigan Public Service Commission.

/s/ Dayna Ampe
Dayna Ampe

Service List U-21806

ALJ:

Hon. James M. Varchetti varchettij@michigan.gov

Consumers Energy Company:

Spencer Sattler Spencer.sattler@cmsenergy.com
Bret Totoraitis Bret.totoraitis@cmsenergy.com
Anne Uitvlugt Anne.uitvlugt@cmsenergy.com
Gary Gensch, Jr. Gary.genschjr@cmsenergy.com
Evan B. Keimach evan.keimach@cmsenergy.com
Kelly Hall Kelly.hall@cmsenergy.com
 mpsc.filings@cmsenergy.com

MPSC Staff:

Lori Mayabb mayabbl@michigan.gov
Anna B. Stirling stirlingal@michigan.gov
Amit T. Singh singha9@michigan.gov
Alena M. Clark clarka55@michigan.gov
Michael J. Orris orrism@michigan.gov

Attorney General:

Celeste Gill gillc1@michigan.gov
 ag-enra-spec-lit@michigan.gov

Michigan Environmental Council

& Citizens Utility Board of Michigan:

Holly L. Hillyer holly@tropospherelegal.com
Christopher M. Bzdok chris@tropospherelegal.com
Natasha Fowles natasha@tropospherelegal.com